

(19) World Intellectual Property Organization  
International Bureau



13 SEP 2004

(43) International Publication Date  
18 September 2003 (18.09.2003)

PCT

(10) International Publication Number  
**WO 03/076321 A1**

(51) International Patent Classification<sup>7</sup>: **B65H 55/04**

Luc [BE/BE]; Oliebergstraat 6, B-8540 Deerlijk (BE).  
VANNESTE, Stijn [BE/BE]; Oostrozebekestraat 98,  
B-8770 Ingelmunster (BE).

(21) International Application Number: PCT/EP02/13688

(22) International Filing Date: 3 December 2002 (03.12.2002)

(74) Agent: MESSELY, Marc; N.V. Bekaert S.A., D.I.E.,  
Bekaertstraat 2, B-8550 Zwevegem (BE).

(25) Filing Language: English

(26) Publication Language: English

(30) Priority Data:  
02100243.1 13 March 2002 (13.03.2002) EP

(71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): N.V.  
BEKAERT S.A. [BE/BE]; Bekaertstraat 2, B-8550 Zw-  
evegem (BE).

(81) Designated States (national): AE, AG, AL, AM, AT, AU,  
AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR, CU,  
CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EC, EE, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH,  
GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC,  
LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW,  
MX, MZ, NO, NZ, OM, PH, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG,  
SK, SL, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VN,  
YU, ZA, ZM, ZW.


(72) Inventors; and

(75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only): MULLEBROUCK,  
Rik [BE/BE]; Ten Dries 3, B-8930 Rekkem (BE). SABBE,

(84) Designated States (regional): ARIPO patent (GH, GM,  
KE, LS, MW, MZ, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW),  
Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM),  
European patent (AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE,  
ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE, SI, SK,

[Continued on next page]

(54) Title: SPOOL FILLED WITH MULTIPLE ELONGATED ELEMENTS WOUND CLOSELY TOGETHER



(57) Abstract: A spool (10) filled with two or more elongated elements (14, 16) such as steel cords wound in parallel and in several windings upon the spool is provided. The distance *s* between two neighboring elongated elements, as measured along a line parallel to the axis (18) of the spool (10), is not more than 10 mm along 90 % of the length of each elongated element. The advantage is that unwinding problems such as sags and downstream processability problems are avoided.

WO 03/076321 A1



TR), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

*For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guidance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the beginning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.*

**Published:**

— *with international search report*

**SPOOL FILLED WITH MULTIPLE ELONGATED ELEMENTS WOUND CLOSELY TOGETHER**

**Field of the invention.**

5 The present invention relates to a spool filled with two or more elongated elements wound in parallel and in several windings upon the spool. The present invention also relates to a method of providing such a spool. The terms 'elongated elements' refer to elements the longitudinal dimension of which is more than hundred times larger than the cross-sectional dimensions. Common examples of elongated elements are  
10 round or flat steel wires, steel cords, textile yarns, copper strands, ...

**Background of the invention.**

15 Assemblies and apparatus for winding a plurality of elongated elements such as wires, cables or cords on one single spool are known in the art.

As a matter of example, when manufacturing composites of rubber with steel cord, such as tires, the steel cords are very often drawn from a  
20 creel, which comprises a large number of spools, e.g. 20 to 150 spools in some embodiments and e.g. 500 to 1000 spools in other embodiments. The great number of steel cords is then guided in parallel in order to be inserted between two layers of rubber. A drawback of such a system is that it takes a lot of time to replace the empty spools by filled spools.  
25 Using spools with multiple winding, i.e. where a plurality of steel cords is wound upon one spool, reduces the number of spools in a creel and increases the flexibility of the use of such a creel.

30 However, the simultaneous unwinding of a plurality of elongated elements from such a single spool, may cause difficulties and the subsequent parallel processing of the plurality of elongated elements may lead to an unacceptable degree of fractures and processability problems.

The unwinding difficulties and the processability problems and fractures  
35 during the subsequent treatment may be due to a variation in diameter of

the elongated elements during their winding, or may be due to the fact that elongated elements become entangled during their winding, or may be due to the fact that the elongated elements, although wound at the same time on the same spool, take different lengths on the spool.

5 Other difficulties during the unwinding operations are due to different tensions in the individual elongated elements during the winding operation.

10 GB-B-1 163 983 discloses a method for winding a plurality of elongated elements on one single spool whereby it is aimed at keeping the winding lengths of the elongated elements substantially equal to each other despite some variations in diameter of the elongated element. The solution used to obtain substantially the same lengths is to increase the tension in elongated elements with an increased diameter in order to  
15 reduce the winding diameter and to decrease the tension in elongated elements with a decreased diameter in order to increase the winding diameter. A separation comb is mounted upstream the winding spool in order to avoid disentanglement of the neighboring elongated elements.

20 EP-A-0 780 333 discloses an assembly for winding multiple elongated elements on a spool, where the tensions in the elongated elements are kept substantially constant and equal. In order to obtain constant and equal tensions, the assembly comprises following parts :

- 25 - a set of independently driveable capstans, one for each individual elongated element to be wound ;
- a single spool where the plurality of elongated elements are to be wound ;
- first monitoring means for measuring the tensions of each individual elongated element of a subgroup of the plurality of elongated elements ;
- 30 - first control means for steering individually the revolution speed of the capstans driving the elongated elements of the subgroup such that said

tensions remain substantially constant and substantially equal to each other.

Before their winding on the spool, a comb is used to prevent the wires from entangling with each other and from jumping over each other.

5

So the prior art has provided solutions both for keeping the lengths equal and the tensions constant in the elongated elements to be wound.

10

Despite these solutions problems are still experienced in determined circumstances when unwinding the plurality of elongated elements at the same time. More particularly, when unwinding, some of the elongated elements show unacceptable large sags. These sags may lead to entanglement with the neighboring elements or to wear or pollution of the sagged elements when these elements drag over the floor of the unwinding shop. Still another problem is that the ultimate product, e.g. a rubber strip with the elongated elements may show some unacceptable wrinkles.

15

20

**Summary of the invention.**

It is an object of the present invention to avoid the drawbacks of the prior art.

It is another object of the present invention to minimize unwinding problems.

25

It is yet another object of the present invention to minimize sags during the unwinding of the plurality of elongated elements.

It is still another object of the present invention to avoid wrinkles in the ultimate product.

30

According to a first aspect of the present invention there is provided a spool filled with two or more elongated elements wound in parallel and in several windings upon the spool. The distance between two neighboring

elongated elements, as measured along a line parallel to the axis of the spool, is not more than 10 mm, preferably not more than 8 mm, e.g. not more than 5 mm, along 90% of the length of each elongated element.

5        Indeed the inventors have experienced that the distance between the neighboring elongated elements wound on the spool is a critical parameter. It does not only suffice to wind the elongated elements under substantially equal tensions and to wind the elongated elements with substantially equal lengths upon the spool, the elongated elements have  
10       also to be wound as close as possible to each other without becoming entangled. As will be explained hereinafter, the greater the distance between two neighboring elongated elements, the greater the danger for tension differences in the unwinding elongated elements - even if the elongated elements have been wound under equal tensions and with  
15       equal lengths. The greater the tension differences in the unwinding elongated elements the greater the danger for sags in one or more of the elongated elements and the greater the danger for wrinkles in the ultimate product.

20       The elongated elements may be steel elements such as steel wires or steel cords.

      In a particular embodiment of the invention, there is provided a spool wherein one of the steel cords comprises steel filaments, a majority of  
25       which is twisted in a first twist direction, and wherein another of the steel cords also comprises steel filaments, a majority of which is twisted in a second twist direction. The second twist direction is opposite to the first twist direction.

      Preferably the spool comprises two steel cords wound upon the spool.  
30       One steel cord is mainly twisted in an S-direction and the other steel cord is mainly twisted in a Z-direction.

According to a second aspect of the present invention, there is provided a method of minimizing sags when unwinding multiple elongated elements from one single spool. The method comprises the following steps :

- 5 a) providing a spool ;  
b) winding multiple elongated elements in parallel and in several windings upon the spool so that that the distance between two neighboring elongated elements, as measured along a line parallel to the axis of the spool, is not more than 10 mm along 90% of the  
10 length of each elongated element.

In a preferable embodiment of the method the multiple elongated elements are guided on a common pulley just upstream the spool in order to reduce as much as possible the distance  $s$  between two  
15 neighboring elongated elements on the spool. The common pulley is located as close as possible to the spool.

Most preferably the multiple elongated elements are kept separate from each other upstream the common pulley in order to avoid that the  
20 elongated elements would entangle with each other.

The common pulley preferably has a flat groove and most preferably the width of this groove is somewhat greater than the sum of the diameters of the multiple elongated elements. This gives the best results with  
25 respect to minimizing the distance  $s$  while still avoiding the entanglement between two neighboring elongated elements.

**Brief description of the drawings.**

30 The invention will now be described into more detail with reference to the accompanying drawings wherein

- FIGURE 1 shows a spool according to a first embodiment of the present invention ;
- FIGURE 2 shows a spool according to a second and particular embodiment of the present invention ;
- 5 - FIGURE 3 gives a schematic drawing explaining the working of the present invention.

10

**Description of the preferred embodiments of the invention.**

FIGURE 1 shows a spool 10 according to a first embodiment of the first aspect of the present invention. The spool 10 is provided with two flanges 12' and 12". Two steel cords 14 and 16, both twisted in S-  
15 direction, are wound in parallel and adjacent to each other on spool 10. The distance s, as measured along a line parallel to the axis 18 of spool 10, is less than 5 mm.

FIGURE 2 shows a spool 10 according to a particular and second  
20 embodiment of the first aspect of the present invention. The spool 10 is provided with two flanges 12' and 12". A steel cord 14, twisted in S-direction, and a steel cord 20, twisted in Z-direction, are wound in parallel and adjacent to each other on spool 10. The distance s, as  
25 measured along a line parallel to the axis 18 of spool 10, is less than 5 mm. When using spools according to this particular embodiment of the invention on a creel in the field of rubber tires, an S-cord and a Z-cord will lie, one adjacent to the other in a composite ply rubber-steel cord. If  
all the spools on the creel will be spools according to the invention, there will be an equal number of S-cords and Z-cords on average over the  
30 whole composite rubber - steel cord ply. S-cords will alternate on average with Z-cords over the whole composite ply. In such a configuration it is likely that any residual torsions present on S-cords may



compensate on average any residual torsions present on Z-cords so that eventually cut composite strips rubber - steel cord do not exhibit curling. Within the context of the present invention, the terms "residual torsions" are defined as follows : if one end of a specified length of cord is allowed to turn freely, the number of residual torsions is equal to the number of revolutions counted. An imbalance of residual torsions over the totality of steel cords within one composite strip rubber - steel cord is known as the main cause of curling. Avoiding this imbalance reduces the risk for curling. And, as explained above, avoidance of curling may facilitate the automated handling of the strips. In such configuration it is sufficient that the steel cords present in the cut strips have on average no residual torsions. As a result it is no longer required to fine-tune the amount of residual torsions present on each single steel cord during its twisting step. This may considerably reduce the auxiliary equipment required, more particularly, the automatic torsion control may be cancelled.

FIGURE 3 explains the basic working of the present invention. Spool 10 is filled with two steel cords 14, 16. There is a distance  $s$  present between the two steel cords 14 and 16, measured along a line parallel to the axis 18 of the spool 10. The two steel cords 14, 16 are wound from spool 10 and are guided through one single fixed hole 22. Steel cords 14' and 16' show the situation at the left flange 12' and steel cords 14" and 16" show the situation at the right flange 12".

For the sake of the current calculations, the distance  $s$  is supposed to remain constant during the unwinding process.

Also for the sake of calculations, the hole 22 is supposed to be at a distance of  $y = 300$  mm from the spool 10, and at  $x = 0$  mm from the extension of right flange 12".

The spool width  $b$  is equal to 153 mm.

It is further supposed that the steel cord is of a 2x0.30 type, so that the cross-section  $A$  is equal to  $0.141372$  mm<sup>2</sup>.

$l_1$  is the length of the unwound cord 14',  $l_2$  the length of the unwound cord 16',  $l_3$  the length of the unwound cord 14" and  $l_4$  the length of the unwound cord 16".

5 With the above assumptions, at with a value of intercord distance  $s$  equal to 10 mm, we obtain following values for the various lengths  $l_1$ ,  $l_2$ ,  $l_3$ , and  $l_4$  :

$$l_1 = \sqrt{y^2 + (b - x)^2} = 3003,899 \text{ mm}$$

$$l_2 = \sqrt{y^2 + (b - x - s)^2} = 3003,406 \text{ mm}$$

$$l_3 = \sqrt{y^2 + (x - s)^2} = 3000,017 \text{ mm}$$

10  $l_4 = \sqrt{y^2 + x^2} = 3000,000 \text{ mm}$

The difference of the length difference, i.e. the change in length difference between the situation at the left flange 12' and the length difference at the right flange 12" is :

$$(l_1 - l_2) - (l_3 - l_4) = 0,476067 \text{ mm}$$

15 Such a change in length difference results in a change in tension difference of

$$\Delta\sigma \approx \frac{(l_1 - l_2) - (l_3 - l_4)}{E * A * y} = 4,4868 \text{ Newton}$$

20 With an intercord distance  $s$  different from 0 mm, the length difference between the unwound steel cords 14 and 16 changes continuously during the unwinding operation, which results in changing tension differences in the unwound steel cords 14 and 16.

This change in tension difference is dependent upon the distance  $s$  and increases as the distance  $s$  increases, as may be derived from the following table.

25

**Table : tension difference in function of distance  $s$**

$s$ [mm]	$\Delta\sigma$ (Newton)
0	0
0,5	0,239236
1	0,476904

1,5	0,713005
2	0,947537
2,5	1,180502
3	1,411899
3,5	1,641728
4	1,86999
4,5	2,096683
5	2,321809
5,5	2,545366
6	2,767356
6,5	2,987777
7	3,206631
7,5	3,423917
8	3,639634
8,5	3,853784
9	4,066365
9,5	4,277379
10	4,486824
10,5	4,694701
11	4,901

- Dependent upon the unwinding tension applied to the spool, which may vary from 400 gram (= 3.924 Newton) to 3 kg (= 29.43 Newton), considerable sags up to 0.5 m and higher may be registered. Sags occur each time the tension in one elongated element becomes zero. More exactly, when the difference between the unwinding tension applied to the spool (this is the sum of the unwinding tensions of the individual elongated elements) and the tension difference between the individual elongated elements becomes smaller than zero, one of the elongated elements will form a sag.
- The above simulation and calculation shows that it is important to keep the distance  $s$  between the neighboring elongated elements as small as possible.
- The underlying layer consisting of the same type of elongated elements, the underlying layer is rough. So it is not always possible to keep the distance  $s$  constant during winding. Nevertheless measures should be taken to keep the distance between the neighboring elongated elements as small as possible.

**CLAIMS**

1. A spool filled with two or more elongated elements wound in parallel and in several windings upon said spool, characterized in that the distance between two neighboring elongated elements, as measured along a line parallel to the axis of the spool, is not more than 10 mm along 90% of the length of each elongated element.
2. A spool according to claim 1 wherein said distance is smaller than 5 mm.
3. A spool according to any one of the preceding claims wherein said elongated elements are steel elements.
4. A spool according to claim 3 wherein said steel elements are steel wires.
5. A spool according to claim 3 wherein said steel elements are steel cords.
6. A spool according to claim 5 wherein one of said steel cords comprises steel filaments, a majority of which being twisted in a first twist direction, and wherein another of said steel cords comprises steel filaments, a majority of which being twisted in a second twist direction, said second twist direction being opposite to said first twist direction.
7. A method of minimizing sags when unwinding multiple elongated elements from one single spool, said method comprising the following steps :
- a) providing a spool ;
- b) winding multiple elongated elements in parallel and in several

-11-

windings upon said spool so that that the distance between two neighboring elongated elements, as measured along a line parallel to the axis of the spool, is not more than 10 mm along 90% of the length of each elongated element.

5

8. A method according to claim 7 wherein said method further comprises the following step :
- guiding the multiple elongated elements on a common pulley upstream the spool.

10


9. A method according to claim 8 wherein said method further comprises the following step :
- keeping the multiple elongated elements separate from each other upstream said common pulley.

15

10. A method according to claim 9 wherein said common pulley has a flat groove.

20

11. A method according to claim 10 wherein said flat groove has a width being greater than the sum of the diameters of the multiple elongated elements.






Fig. 2




Fig. 3

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No

PCT/EP 02/13688

## A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

IPC 7 B65H55/04

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

## B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

IPC 7 B65H

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

EPO-Internal, WPI Data, PAJ

## C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	EP 0 299 506 A (NITTO GLASS FIBER MFG) 18 January 1989 (1989-01-18) claim 1; figures 1-4 ---	1,2,7
A	EP 0 113 671 A (PPG INDUSTRIES INC) 18 July 1984 (1984-07-18) ---	
A	GB 1 163 983 A (BEKAERT SA NV) 10 September 1969 (1969-09-10) cited in the application ---	
A	EP 0 780 333 A (BEKAERT SA NV) 25 June 1997 (1997-06-25) cited in the application -----	



Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.



Patent family members are listed in annex.

## \* Special categories of cited documents:

- \*A\* document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- \*E\* earlier document but published on or after the international filing date
- \*L\* document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- \*O\* document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- \*P\* document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

- \*T\* later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
- \*X\* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
- \*Y\* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.
- \*G\* document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

9 April 2003

Date of mailing of the international search report

22/04/2003

Name and mailing address of the ISA

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2  
NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk  
Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,  
Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Van Gelder, P



## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No

PCT/EP 02/13688

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date		Patent family member(s)	Publication date
EP 0299506	A	18-01-1989	JP	1024047 A	26-01-1989
			JP	1862114 C	08-08-1994
			JP	5075705 B	21-10-1993
			DE	3861993 D1	18-04-1991
			EP	0299506 A1	18-01-1989
			KR	9411123 B1	23-11-1994
			US	4830647 A	16-05-1989
EP 0113671	A	18-07-1984	US	4488686 A	18-12-1984
			CA	1227176 A1	22-09-1987
			DE	3464750 D1	20-08-1987
			EP	0113671 A1	18-07-1984
			JP	1605386 C	13-05-1991
			JP	2030988 B	10-07-1990
			JP	59138567 A	09-08-1984
GB 1163983	A	10-09-1969	BE	712536 A	31-07-1968
			DE	1599037 A1	16-06-1971
			FR	1549653 A	13-12-1968
			NL	6804344 A	30-09-1968
			US	3477653 A	11-11-1969
EP 0780333	A	25-06-1997	EP	0780333 A1	25-06-1997